The Gaslighting Continues to Accelerate



by Miles Mathis
delivered to you by Unlockdown.me (annotations if any in GREEN)
First published September 15, 2024

I will give you two widely disparate examples, the second much more important than the first. On Microsoft Bing's News homepage today, in the primary scroll, we find an article

17 Worst Films From 1985 That People Are Still Trying To Erase From Their Memory

The one in the lead illustration for the article is. . . Fletch.

Really? Fletch? Fletch is actually one of the most re-watchable films of the entire 1980s, or ever, so I have no idea who compiled this list: one of Chevy's ex-wives, maybe? That assessment of Fletch isn't just my opinion. It made \$60 million on an \$8 million budget and was a hit in its time, being the number two movie when it came out. It was a big seller in both videocassette and DVD, and still has great numbers at all the ratings sites. I don't care much for critics, but most critics have called it Chase's best, including Siskel and Ebert. So this is just the usual mindstir from the mainstream media, trying to sell you day as night.

In fact, if we search on "best Chevy Chase movies", the first thing that comes up is a list at IMDb, led by, you guessed it, Fletch at #1.

In the very same scroll at Bing, we find this article entitled

Scientists Say Wormholes Are Secretly Altering Our Reality

Yeah, that's the science, baby. Trust the science. The article starts by admitting scientists have been wrong about this particular question—the vacuum catastrophe—for almost a century. Very very very wrong. . . 120 orders of magnitude wrong. . . meaning, even if your magnitude is 2, they are wrong by 10 to the 36th power, or wrong by a trillion trillion. NOT GOOD FOR SCIENCE. All the top theorists in the world have been working on this problem for many decades and haven't gotten

anywhere, but that doesn't keep them from publishing articles like this all the time. This one wasn't published at *People Magazine*, it was reported at *Popular Mechanics*—which is now just as bad—and published at the top scientific journal in the US: *Physical Review D*—which, as we are seeing, is also just as bad as *People*. If you don't believe me or think I am joking, just keep reading.

An order of magnitude is not simply a 1:1 multiplier, so 120 orders of magnitude is likely far more than 120 times larger. But if your lifetime likelihood of being struck by lightning is about 1 in 15,300, 120 times larger jumps to 1 in 127.

Yeah, they actually say that here. Hard to believe, since it is just a lie, as I explained to you. An order of magnitude isn't like multiplying by 120, it is a power increase. 2 x 120 does not equal 2 to the power of 120, does it? The first is 240 and the second is

Do you really think they are going to fill that gap with imaginary nano-wormholes that no one has ever discovered or even defined?

The Greek scientists suggest something particularly squiggly: microscopic wormholes, whose nature and density could fill up the missing pieces. These spacetime Orbeez require only a bit of fine-tuning from theories that are already in the zeitgeist.

Do they, now? Only a bit of fine-tuning, is it? If we keep reading, we find this fine-tuning consists of 10 quadrillion of these microscopic wormholes per cubic meter per second. That's ten million billion or 10,000,000,000,000,000 of them in a space about the size of your oven, every second. So one second you have that many, and the next second you have a whole new set, and so on.

That, the scientists conclude, is well within the realm of possibility based on estimates.

And that is the last sentence of the article. Yep, it actually ends on that. Based on what estimates? No idea. How do these wormholes fill a gap of 120 orders of magnitude, which is still—at best—something like a billion trillion times larger than the number of wormholes? No idea. Since they are filling a dark matter gap, how do they bring in the dark matter, and from where? Does it just tunnel in from outside the universe? No idea. Why do these tunnels funnel dark matter in rather than out? No idea.

And given that Roy Kerr just admitted singularities don't exist, and that wormholes are based on singularities, this seems like a really bad time to be solving the vacuum catastrophe with wormholes, doesn't it? What I mean is, these wormholes are part of black hole theory, and Kerr is one of the big dogs of that. A wormhole IS a singularity, but one they use for these science fiction tricks, to fill big holes in their old failed equations. But Kerr just blew that funhouse ride to shreds, to the chagrin of many, among them these idiotic Greek scientists still trying to build their careers on squigglies and Orbeez. I guess they will have to go back to time travel, alien intervention, and vacuum fluctuations.

Just so you know, I have already solved these problems for them, both the <u>vacuum catastrophe</u> and the <u>dark matter problem</u>. But they don't have the honesty to admit that, so they have to keep trying to sell

you this embarrassing science fiction hash, to keep their funding intact. The problem is they got their cosmological constant from Einstein, and that constant ended up leading to this vacuum catastrophe. It was so wrong because it didn't include charge as a real field. Einstein's equations were gravity only, and all the contemporary field equations are still gravity only, being un-unified and not including charge. Since charge is 95% of the field, the lack of charge in the equations has doomed them from the start, and as you have seen it hasn't been a marginal failure. It has been a catastrophic failure from the beginning, getting worse every year. It has ended up driving all these mainstream people crazy, and they decided years ago to sell you their crazy. It's all they've got, so they dress it up as science and sex it up as cutting-edge, to make you think you are cool when you are talking about wormholes or backward causality or spooky forces or a hundred other things no sane person would get caught talking about. Someday it will be looked back on as a mass psychosis, if not as a mass gaslighting.

I think Miles is correct. Some narcissists in the academia protecting their sinecures at all cost. And this is just one of many "science" articles they try to force down your throat everyday, both in the mainstream press and the alternative press. Also at Bing today was <u>another article</u> from *Popular Mechanics* claiming compelling evidence for life on Mars.

NASA Made a World-Shaking Discovery: Compelling Evidence of Past Life on Mars

Except that if you click on it and start reading, they soon admit

Although this is the best clue yet that microbial life existed on Mars, there are still other explanations that could explain this geologic display without the existence of microbes.

So the title is false: it isn't "compelling evidence", it is "possible indication". Which makes it far less than "world shaking".

Especially since this evidence comes from a pair of <u>obviously faked Mars rover programs</u> [see p. 5 at that link], which have also discovered ground squirrels and candy wrappers on the Martian surface—aka Nevada.

But to see just how low Bing News is now stooping, witness this promoted video also in their top-line rotation

Alien Megastructures Discovered by Scientists in Space.

This is sold to you in the form of a science article, but it links to a youtube channel "top five scary videos". It is *National Enquirer* stuff with a Wicca narrator.



I could do this deconstruction on nearly every article or video promoted by these top news sites, since whether the subject is science, entertainment, history, politics, or anything else, they are all inverted, perverted, false, fake, fear-inducing propaganda. We are now full fathom five in Operation Chaos. That's why I don't subscribe to mainstream news feeds. My life is better this way. But I understand they try hard to insert this crap wherever they can to lure you in to read it. Time wasted.

Speaking about Chevy Chase. I like him as an actor however if you didn't know he is the Phoenician crème de la crème. He is Chase (JPMC, Chase Bank) and related to Swifts (Taylor), Cranes, Vanderbilts, Douglases (Scottish) and Shuylers. These Phoenician/Jews from the Netherlands, Scotland and England were the founders of New Amsterdam later renamed to New York.

Cornelius "Chevy" Chase is a n-th generation trust kid of the families that own the Manhattan and most of the expensive estate in the State of New York.