



delivered to you by [Unlockdown.me](https://unlockdown.me) (annotations if any in **GREEN**)

I have criticized Greg Reese and Infowars a lot in the past few years, but Reese got it right today with his [new video report on PNAC](#). I recommend you watch it. Though it tells us nothing new, it does state the problem baldly, with good historical clips from the recent past. It also taps most of the right people as the bad guys, while containing very little misdirection. Given that, it is hard to figure out what Infowars and Reese are up to, since I still believe they are controlled opposition. The only thing I can figure is that the governors are so secure in their positions they feel they can call for their own overthrow, believing it cannot happen. Or possibly the top bosses are throwing some of the lower bosses off the boat as a sacrifice, to keep eyes off themselves. These PNAC people are evil, but they aren't the top of the pyramid. They are just following orders, and most of them were chosen to draw fire. They are actors put in the front row specifically to take this kind of heat. Plus, the ones Reese names are either dead or so old they are considered disposable: the Bushes, Clinton, Albright, Kristol, Kagan, Fauci. PNAC ran from 1997 to 2006, so putting a target on them now is pretty much pointless. Yes, it would be thrilling and cathartic to kick them all off some Tarpeian Rock into the sea, but it wouldn't change anything since most are retired and doddering. Biden is on the list, for example, but targeting him for prosecution is a waste of time. He can barely put on his own pants.

Also strange that Reese has removed the “American Enterprise Institute Think Tank” banner from the PNAC graphic above. Possibly that is because he doesn't want you looking them up, since they are still around with some new younger members, including some you wouldn't expect, like Ayaan Hirsi Ali and Dinesh D'Souza. He also doesn't want you [looking them up at Wikipedia](#), where you will find AEI was started by billionaire merchant families at Bristol-Myers, Chemical Bank, Chrysler, Eli Lilly, General Mills, and Paine Webber. Its trustees now include directors of Motorola, International Paper, Dell, State Farm, MolsonCoors, Trammell Crow, Signa and American Express. AEI is heavily funded by JCPenney, ExxonMobil, and ChaseManhattan. Reese says we should fight these people, but his backers may prefer you don't do that by boycotting these companies and the rest of big business.

He also probably doesn't want you perusing their trustees, officers, national council, or “scholars” pages, since they are all Jews. EVERY SINGLE ONE! What, even John Yoo? Yes, I would guess his mother is a Cohen or a Levi or something. Prove me wrong. His parents are scrubbed at Wikipedia and elsewhere on the internet, the usual first confirmation. His real name is Yoo Choon. But wait,

could we have confirmation already? Choon=Cohen. Four of the five letters are the same, including the first and last, already indicating a probable match in Hebrew, where the vowels are vanishing. Again, how did I guess right the very first time? Just playing the odds. I know how to do math, remember?



And in other news today, we are told the House Judiciary Committee is suing FBI “special” agent Elvis Chan for defying a subpoena. Really? Elvis Cohen, I mean Chan? Is anyone awake? Congress doesn't have to sue anyone in such circumstance, since it is Constitutionally fully able to deal with this situation without involving any courts or a lawsuit. Meaning this is just more vaudeville. Congress not only has subpoena powers, it has the power to jail those who defy its subpoenas, including FBI agents. Without that power to punish, a subpoena would be meaningless.

Just think of it this way: a normal court has subpoena power, or gives it to prosecutors, and part of the power is the power to jail those who ignore the subpoena. Otherwise the subpoena is worthless, and is nothing more than a polite request.

Plus, these stories ignore the meaning of the word subpoena. It comes from the Latin sub poena, or “under penalty”. **The subpoena relies on the penalty**, which is jail. So a subpoena automatically includes the power of penalty. If you don't show up to a local court that has subpoenaed you, they don't have to sue you on top of that to put you in jail. They are already a court, so they just put you in jail. A court doesn't have to go to another court. Well, it is the same with Congress. In its subpoena power, it is already an acting court, with the power of penalty. So it doesn't have to sue to enforce that subpoena.

These stories are for the legally illiterate, and we have seen hundreds of these stories in the past decade, accelerating after 2020. We see States and attorney generals suing various people they already have power over, for example, so they shouldn't have to go to court to compel action. But since all government is now a fraud and a free-for-all for the wealthy, this never gets done. All these legislative and executive bodies just sue one another, with the cases being spread over so many years the public

forgets what any of them were about. It's all just a comic vaudeville for morons by morons.