

Christopher Columbus is not
Who you Thought
and neither is anything else




by Miles Mathis

delivered to you by [Unlockdown.me](https://unlockdown.me) (annotations if any in GREEN)

First published August 14, 2024

Our first clue here is that portrait of him at about age 40 by Alejo Fernandez. Not what you were expecting, I bet. It was done in 1531, so not from life, but Fernandez consulted people who had known him. Columbus had died just 25 years earlier. Two things jump out at us here, that being the nose and the hair. We see a long Jewish nose with a “Roman” hump, but as a portrait painter I can tell you that was probably toned down a bit by the artist, especially since we are looking at him profile. By the 1530s Columbus was already being sold as Gentile, for the usual reasons. They had already given him a less obvious name, changing it from Colon to Columbus, and faked a birthplace for him in Genoa. We will look more closely at that in a moment.

But let's return to the nose.



The long Jewish nose and face are confirmed by that one, painted later from an earlier 16th c. engraving. I don't know why they didn't just show us the engraving at Wikipedia, so I looked for it. My assumption was the engraving looked even more Jewish, else why hide it. But it is unavailable on the internet. As is the painting the engraving was made from. Engravings are not usually made on their own. They are made as copies of oil or tempera paintings. So we are already at a third step here.

Which means this famous one by Sebastiano del Piombo that they always lead with is pure propaganda:



They admit it doesn't match contemporary accounts of him and the words were added later, so it may be a complete fake. If the words on the top were added later, then the signature was as well, since they match. Which means we have no proof this is by Piombo. We also have no other indication it is

Columbus, and they admit it is only “said to be Columbus”. There is no record of Columbus sitting for Piombo, and there should be since Piombo was very famous, being a friend of Michelangelo and Keeper of the Papal Seal. That is what del Piombo means: “of the lead”, since the Papal seal was lead. Furthermore, Piombo worked in Venice, not Spain or Portugal, and they admit many of his attributions are disputed. But because he was so famous, he was a lightning rod for these fakes. Sort of like a southern Rembrandt. Everyone wanted to discover or own a Piombo, so they just created many out of thin air. This portrait of Columbus was faked for another reason: they need to convince the world Columbus was a Gentile, and this fellow has a less Jewish face.

As a professional portrait painter, I think it is also possible that head was added later, being painted over a different one. The head is way too small for the body, isn't it? The hands are bigger than the head.

Now, about that hair in the first one. They admit Columbus was graying by age 30, so that hair is meant to be gray/blond. Columbus was known to have been a blue-eyed blond. Is that how you have pictured it all these years? A blue-eyed blond with a long face and very big nose? I doubt it. When we think of guys from Genoa (or Spain/Portugal), we don't think of blue-eyed blonds. You will say that proves he wasn't Jewish, but not so fast. Yes, it indicates he wasn't lower-class Jewish, because those people don't have the wherewithal to marry tall beautiful blonde women. But Jewish nobles have been marrying tall blondes and redheads for centuries, for obvious reasons: because they could. Many Spanish/Portuguese lines are famous for it, including the current Royal line in Spain.



That's Princess Leonor from a few years ago, showing off her Swedish blood. Her hair is now darkening and she is getting pudgy, so she may have peaked at 14, but who knows? My point being that these royal European lines are the *most* likely to have these submerged genes for blue-blond, indicating Columbus was most likely from them.

I agree that as it stands today not only brunettes are Jewish. Since the Phoenicians and Jews were in every single trading port including Norway and Sweden, wrote cheques in Hebrew, traded slaves including European women they definitely turned some of them into sex slaves. Today some of the less respectful women they own end up in their porn studios.

But even without all that, you should have known Columbus was Jewish. All the navigators were Jewish, since this is the Phoenician Navy we are talking about: they have owned worldwide shipping for at least 6,000 years. They try to sell Columbus as from a poor nothing family, but that is just the usual sob story these people always tell. They are inveterate liars and this is one of their favorite lies.

With find another clue—perhaps the biggest—with his wife, the Portuguese noblewoman Filipa [Moniz Perestrelo](#). He married her in 1479, when he was 28 and before he was famous. So how did he manage that, exactly? From Wiki:

Discussing the question of how Christopher Columbus, the son of a Genoese wool weaver, could marry the daughter of a Portuguese Knight of Santiago, a member of the household of Prince John, Lord of Reguengos de Monsaraz (Master of Santiago,) and of Prince Henry the Navigator's household, Samuel Eliot Morison^[4] wrote that this is "no great mystery." Filipa was "already about 25 years old," her mother was a widow "with slender means," and "her mother was glad enough to have no more convent bills to pay, and a son-in-law [...] who asked for no dowry.

And they wonder why we don't trust historians? That is the worst sort of pettifogging. And gee, who was [Samuel Morison](#)? Only a rear admiral in the US Navy, so . . . Phoenician Navy himself. He was a close relative of T. S. Eliot. Morison came out of the [Phoenix](#) SK Club at Harvard. Man, is this easy or what? [Don't forget about Jim Morrison and his father admiral George Morrison.](#)

But it was worse than pettifogging, since all that stuff about her mother being of slender means with convent bills is just bold-face lying. Filipa Perestrelo had her own *comendary*, which means she owned feudal lands that paid her rent. Filipa wasn't a nun paying bills, she *owned* the convent. Do you think Morison wasn't aware of that? They admit it in previous sentences at Wikipedia, but then try to bluff you with this poverty stuff a few paragraphs later, figuring you don't know what a *comendary* is and won't even click on the provided link to find out.

So this is just more indication Columbus wasn't the son of a Genoese wool weaver. But there is a clue even in the lie, since weaving points right at the Jews as well. It indicates Columbus' family may have been involved in textiles, but as owners of big companies and vast lands, not as weavers.

But it gets better. Filipa's father wasn't just a Knight of Santiago, he was also. . . Phoenician Navy. All you have to do is click his link at Wiki, where they admit he was a shipowner, navigator and explorer himself, having discovered Porto Santo Island. He became its Lord and Governor. Filipa's grandfather was Micer Filippo Pallastrelli, a [Lombard](#) knight and nobleman of King John I of Portugal. Several more clues there, since the Lombard knights were crypto-Jews, and the same can be said of John, who was an Aviz. They come from Henry II of England as well as from the Dukes of Burgundy, making them Capetians as well as Plantagenets, Dunkelds, and [Komnenes](#). They also come from Rollo the Viking, making them Phoenicians and [Komnenes](#) once again. Through Alfonso of Castile they take us directly back to Isaac II Angelos, Byzantine Emperor of the House of . . . [Komnene](#).

Filipa's grandmother was Caterina Visconti, of that Italian noble house. Filipa's great-grandfather Pallastrelli was a nobleman of the House of the Infante Joao, son of King John I. So they are admitting that Columbus was not just marrying the daughter of a knight, he was marrying into the royal house. And to do that, Columbus must have been of royalty or other very high nobility himself. Otherwise the marriage would have been forbidden. It indicates Columbus was Portuguese himself, not Italian. It doesn't take much digging to find some historians admitting that, though the mainstream dismisses them, telling us "this theory has been dismissed by most scholars". You mean the mainstream scholars

who will be paid off to say anything? But the evidence Columbus was Jewish/Portuguese stacks to the Moon, while the evidence he wasn't all looks manufactured and false. Such as? Well, the biggest clue here is that when he returned from his first famous voyage, he didn't first report to his alleged sponsors, the King and Queen of Spain. No, he reported first to the King of Portugal. Also curious is that Columbus spoke and wrote Hebrew, and celebrated the Jewish holidays on his voyages. He used the Jewish calendar. Why would a Gentile from Genoa do that?

If you still don't believe me, you may want to read [this page at MyJewishLearning.com](http://this.page.at/MyJewishLearning.com). They admit his birthname, Cristobal **Colon**, was Jewish, and that his mother was a **Fonterossa**, also Jewish. His grandfather in that line was Jacob and his great-grandfather was Abraham. They then give you this quote:

I am not the first admiral of my family, let them give me whatever name they please; for when all is done, David, that most prudent king, was first a shepherd and afterward chosen King of Jerusalem, and I am a servant of that same Lord who raised him to such a dignity.

They admit Columbus was surrounded by prominent Jews, including the astronomers/navigationers in the Spanish court Josef Vicenho and Martin Behaim. Also Abraham Zacuto of the University of Salamanca, who assisted Columbus. Even more important was Luis de Santangel (originally Chinillo), the driving force behind the entire enterprise. As controller-general and chancellor of Aragon, Santangel provided the funding for the trip, a large part of it from his personal fortune.



That's Santangel, and no it isn't shortened. He was way under 5 feet tall.

Wiki admits:

After his expeditions, Columbus was granted the island of **Jamaica**, which became a place of refuge for many **Sephardi Jews** after their expulsion from Spain and Portugal.

Don't forget about our Jewish pirates of the Caribbean in Kingston, Jamaica. Read [HERE](#).

Santangel's heir Antonio de la Cadena Maluenda became the treasurer of New Spain in 1525, continuing the Jewish financial dominance of the New World.

Other Jewish sponsors of Columbus' voyage were Gabriel Sanchez, Aragon's treasurer, and Don Isaac Abarbanel, treasurer of Portugal. At Wiki they pretend not to know where the name Abarbanel came from, but it is obviously a variant of Bourbon. Remember, the Jews aren't too particular about their vowels, it is all about the consonants. Drop vowels and it is the same name, isn't it, with a bonus at the end: El, the high god of the Phoenicians.

Another major funder of Columbus was Lorenzo di Pierfrancesco de' Medici, through his Seville agent Gianotto Berardi. In fact, they invested more than Santangel. This is hidden in most accounts of Columbus, including his Wiki bio, and I only discovered it on Vespucci's page. This is of interest here, because I have shown [the Medicis were also crypto-Jews](#), and in 1492 the Papacy had already been taken over by Jews. Later that year a Borgia would be Pope, and the Borgias had already had a Pope in the 1450s. The Medicis would take the papacy in 1513.



As usual, a visual here is very helpful. Those are all Popes of the 1400s. Borgia is in the middle. Nice of them to give us profiles, isn't it? They aren't shy that way.

Columbus just happened to leave on August 2, the date of the Jewish Expulsion. Many (most? all?) of those on Columbus' ships were Jewish, including his bursar and his surgeon. We are told his trip was funded in part by expelling all the Jews from Spain and stealing their property, but you can be sure that isn't true. As we are seeing, all the most prominent people of Spain were Jewish, including the royals, so they could hardly expel all the Jews.

You will say, "Are you telling me the Inquisition *wasn't* pointed at the Jews of Spain?" That's what I am telling you. Some Jews who weren't following the party line may have been swept up, but in general we know going in this couldn't have been aimed at Jews since the country was run by them. Same as now, same as it ever was. From the early years the Inquisition wasn't mainly about Jewishness, it was about Christian heresy, and it was run by the Dominicans, an especially nasty group of cloaked government agents. Remember, the Dominicans were founded by a Spanish guy name Dominic [de Guzman](#), and as with many Popes, we have no idea where this guy came from. No genealogy. But as usual it is very obvious, since [Guzman is a Jewish name](#). They were nobles from the beginning, later being Dukes of Medina, the first being Alonso Perez de Guzman, who they pretend was a Muslim from Morocco. You have to laugh. A later Duchess of Medina admitted the historians

had cleaned up their history, making it more palatable to Christians, but even her admission is spun. She wasn't admitting to be a Muslim, she was admitting to be a Jew. These Guzman later ruled Toledo, a famous Jewish/Phoenician stronghold.

The wealthiest man in Spain at the time of Columbus was a Guzman, the 2nd Duke.

In the 1500s a Guzman was Commander-in-Chief of the entire Spanish Armada, and by that time they had married into many other top Jewish lines, including Sotomayor, Zuniga, Mendoza, Ponce de Leon, Braganza, and Aragon. That last means they had married into the royal family, something no Muslim could do.

Wikipedia, after misdirecting for many sentences on the genealogy of St. Dominic the Guzman, finally admits that Pero Tafur conceded he was from this famous de Guzman family of billionaires. Tafur should have known, since he was a de Guzman himself. So you should find it very curious that the Dominicans were founded by billionaire Jews. Or not so curious, since that is what we have always found. **Most if not all surnames ending -man are not some Germans but Jews. List [HERE](#).**

The Dominicans were founded “to spread the gospel and to oppose heresy.” Strangely non-Christian, isn't it? What about turning the other cheek? The Dominicans' role in the Inquisition, and their founding by billionaire Jews, tells us that it was never about battling heresy, it was always about using the church for pillage. If someone has something you want, just charge them with heresy, throw them in jail, and take it. Wealth accumulation 101. We can be sure this happened in the 1400s to fund Columbus, but again, it wasn't aimed at Jews. We can be sure the Jews were the most spared, if anything. It was aimed at anyone making money but not bowing deeply enough to the governors and treasurers. Anyone not prostrate before the mobsters was targeted.



Sort of ruins your piety, doesn't it? Once you know the truth, all the beauty of that evaporates like spilled grape kool-aid on a burning hot sidewalk.

But back to Columbus. To see the current state of the lie, you may consult Wikipedia, as usual. They have a page on “Origin Theories of Columbus”, which is slanted to vertical in favor of the Genoese story, but which is otherwise all spin. I encourage you to read it closely, since it has zero real evidence in favor of that theory. Most of the “evidence” is a list of previous historians or other people who said he was, as if that is evidence. In a court all of that would be dismissed as hearsay. They are just repeating what someone told them, which isn't evidence.

Wiki leads the page with only two documents, neither of which will convince anyone. The first is a probably forged note allegedly in Columbus' hand, in a deed of primogeniture which first was produced by his heirs in 1578 in court during a squabble over money. The second is a note to his bank that says,

Bien que el cuerpo ande aca el coracon esta ali de continuo. Though my body is here, my heart is constantly there.

So they are leading with that? That is an example of their best evidence? Genoa isn't even mentioned by name, so “here” or “there” could be anywhere. And just because your heart is there doesn't mean you were born there. I might say my heart is in Bruges, but I wasn't born there.

The next section of proof is his son Ferdinand's book. But they admit everyone knew Ferdinand was a big liar, not to be trusted on any issue, so again it is hard to understand why they include this section. It undercuts their own argument, but it is all they have.

If Columbus really was from Genoa, it shouldn't be hard to find documentary proof of that, especially considering his prominence. We have seen he had to have been aristocracy to marry Filipa, and any aristocracy in Genoa would have extensive documentation. It is simply beyond belief that we would have to rely on a short note to a bank, late in his life. This page at Wikipedia is so weak it unintentionally acts as proof Columbus was NOT from Genoa.

Amusingly, that page does give us more proof of the Jewish theory, since it admits Columbus used the bet-hei letters (*b'ezrat hashem*) on all but one of the letters to his son, as well as an anagram for the Kaddish. They also admit that Simon Wiesenthal and Robert Graves both believed Columbus was Jewish. You will say that is more hearsay, but it isn't since Wiesenthal and Graves both did a lot of research, they didn't just parrot the party line. Repeating the party line is easy and requires no research or courage, but bucking the party, especially if you are Jewish, is very difficult. I am no fan of Wiesenthal, as you may know, but you have to ask why he would blow the mainstream cover here. It would be like blowing the Ann Frank story, so it doesn't fit his profile or assignment.

Another thing is almost lost on that page, since they point out something else: when Columbus wasn't writing in Hebrew, he was writing in Spanish. At first that didn't sink in, because they didn't put it in the way it begs to be put: **he never wrote in Italian.** Why would an Italian never write in Italian? He didn't speak Italian, either.

As for Columbus being Portuguese, Wiki admits:

Proponents of the Portuguese hypothesis also point to a court document which stated that Columbus's nationality was "Portuguese"^[nb 29] and in another, Columbus uses the words "my homeland" in relation to Portugal.^{[94][95]}

Strangely, Wiki footnotes the second document but otherwise has nothing to say about its authenticity.

The court document is not even footnoted, since nb 29 doesn't mean anything. It has nothing to do with the 29th footnote. So why should we dismiss these documents while accepting the sad Genoese documentation? No answer to that. Crickets. Then Wiki tells us about the DNA study, saying it began in 2021. Another lie, since as you are about to see it began 20 years ago.

Six and half years ago, [Forbes published an article](#) admitting Columbus' early life looks made up, and suggesting he was Portuguese rather than Genoese. That is true, but unfortunately this looks like more misdirection, since they are selling Branco's theory that Columbus is really a guy named Pedro Ataide, who changed his name to avoid persecution. This is an obvious attempt to muddy the waters and surround the right answer with noise. They were doing DNA tests on bones at that time to prove that theory, but almost seven years later we are given no update. Indicating to me it was all another bluff. Why? To get your name off the name Colon, which is Jewish. Also to get your name off his mother Fonterossa. If Columbus was really Ataide, he would have a different mother, so they could bury that clue.

[The Irish Times finally published an update](#) on the DNA tests in January of 2023, admitting it had taken **two decades** already and promising results by the end of the year. But here it is more than a year and a half later, and no results. My guess is they confirmed the Jewish hypothesis and have been working on some big lie to spin that. The usual. All these scientists and writers pretend the big question is what city or state he was from, but that is almost beside the point. What matters is not the place, but the bloodlines. I have already shown you he is from royal Jewish lines, so I have no interest in this DNA question. So far they have only used DNA to muddy all these questions, so I won't trust anything they tell us at this point. For one example, Henry Gates at *Faces of America* on PBS did Meryl Streep's DNA and told her she wasn't Jewish at all. She looked at him like he was crazy. She believed she was Jewish before the test and still does. Everyone with eyes knows she is Jewish, but this is what they do. They stand in front of the Sun and tell you it is night, then get mad when you don't believe them.

But why lie about Streep or Columbus? Because they need you to believe that someone besides highly promoted Jews has been famous in the history of the world. You might get just a *little suspicious* if you found out every famous person in history was Jewish/Phoenician.

If we return to MyJewishLearning, we find this:

In New Spain, as many as 2,000 conversos settled in Mexico City, Guadalajara, Vera Cruz, Puebla, and Guatemala City. In New Castile, approximately the same number of New Christians resided in Lima, Poto Tucuman, and Cordoba. By the 1630s, hardly a town in the Spanish Empire did not shelter at least a scattering of conversos, some of whom migrated as far as New Mexico and Florida.

Their vocations were no less diverse than in Europe. Among the conversos there were numerous artisans — shoemakers, spice-makers, tailors. Others were ranchers. Several New Christians were priests. One was a bishop. There were converso military officers. The mayor of Tecali was New Christian. Yet, as in Europe, most Sephardim gravitated toward commerce. Several became managers of silver mines. Others were gem and food dealers. They played their traditionally decisive role in the import-export market, including the slave trade. Altogether, New Christians were as prominent in the Americas as in Spain, Portugal or the Netherlands.

“New Christians” and “Conversos” are JEWS. Notice they also admit these crypto-Jews were Catholic priests and bishops. Why would they want to become Catholic priests? We know they had only pretended to convert to avoid persecution. So why pretend to be priests? To infiltrate the Church and blow it from the inside, of course. Also to use the Church to create schism, and perhaps most importantly (as we saw with St. Dominic) to use the Church to steal wealth.

But let's back up and look even more closely at Columbus' wife Filipa. There is so much more we missed the first time. Filipa was Jewish in her mother's line as well, since Moniz is Jewish, too. See Ernest Moniz, Portuguese, the fake nuclear physicist who was Obama's Secretary of Energy.



That photo makes me laugh, because it proves again that a picture is worth a thousand words. Imagine him in period garb instead of that suit: he looks like he tunneled in from the 16th century, doesn't he, even having the right Phoenician haircut. I say he is a fake physicist, since, despite being a bigwig in the field, he knows far less about the nucleus than I do. What real physics did he ever do? His Wiki page conspicuously forgets to tell us that. As you would expect of a Jewish scientist, he has always been much more interested in making money than in studying the nucleus. Another clue is the [Cyprus Institute](#), yes, named after the Phoenician island, that he founded in 2005. This is strange because the Cyprus Institute has nothing to do with Nuclear Physics. If you read their long Wiki page, you won't be any wiser about what they actually do or why they were founded, other than to further buy out and dominate all research and education by the banks. In 2017 he became CEO of the [Nuclear Threat Initiative](#), which proves my point again. This guy isn't interested in unlocking the actual physics of the nucleus, he is interested in using fake physics to create fear and through that funding.

NTI's self-described mission is "to transform global security by driving systemic solutions to nuclear and biological threats imperiling humanity."^[1]

How? By spending more and more taxdollars every year on global security, of course.

Also see Portuguese quack and Nobel Prize winner Antonio Moniz, who invented the lobotomy. There has long been a movement to have his prize revoked, but the Nobel Committee won't hear of it. They love murder and mayhem, being founded on it. Moniz was also a [Resende](#) Abreu, proving once again he was Jewish from these same Komnene lines. [Both Moniz and Resende come](#) from the first king of Portugal, Afonso I, who was both Burgundian and Asturian. According to the family itself, the

Asturians came from the Visigothic rulers of Toledo, always a Jewish stronghold. They have been sold to you as barbarians, but they weren't. The Goths were Phoenician cousins from the north during the Roman Empire, meaning, they were the Vikings again. The purple people by another name.

More about these "invaders from North" [HERE](#).

Most importantly, see Vasco Gil Moniz, who in the time of Columbus was a Vedor (viceroys) of the son of John I of Portugal. Vasco was married to Leonor, daughter of Phoebus of Lusignan. Phoebus just happened to be the Marshal of Armenia and Lord of Sidon, both of which you will remember as Phoenician states. Sidon had been a chief port of Phoenicia for millennia, back to the time of Solomon and before. So again, the clues are leaping up and biting us in the ass. This tells us how important Vasco Moniz was, since his wife's sister Charlotte was Queen of Cyprus, and while Moniz was marrying Leonor, Charlotte was marrying the Infante John of Portugal, son of the King of Portugal. That of course made him the brother-in-law of the King, once John took the throne. Proving again that Columbus' wife was that close to the throne.

The next thing to do was to figure out the relationship of this Vasco Gil Moniz to Columbus' mother-in-law Isabel Moniz. Isn't hard to do, since [Geni tells us Vasco is her father](#). They list him as Vasco Martins Moniz, but it is the same guy since they admit he was the Vedor to the Infante. I have saved that page in case they memoryhole it after this paper comes out. Which means. . . Columbus' wife's grandfather was brother-in-law to the King, they being married to sisters. Which means Columbus was also an in-law of the King of Portugal.

That was amazingly well scrubbed at Wikipedia, though you would think it would be the first thing they would tell you. I had to trip over it at Geni.

Another clue to all this is Columbus' ships:




They have changed the names of them. They weren't the Nina, the Pinta, and the Santa Maria, as you are taught. The Santa Maria was really named the *Gallega*, which means the *Galician*. From Galicia. That's the part of Spain in the NW corner right above Portugal. Not coincidentally, Galicia had the strongest Phoenician history in Spain. The Galician language is more Portuguese than Spanish, and also has some Celtic roots, linking it to Ireland. Which is why we saw the Viking links many times above. While more Southern ports in Spain were Southern Phoenician ports, the ports of Galicia were

mixed, being also Northern Phoenician or Viking ports, linking them more closely to the Komnenes. Which means the sails on those replica ships are also misdirection. We don't know what the sails of Columbus looked like, but since those sails are cross fleury, indicating the Bourbons, we know they can't be right. In naming his ship the *Gallega*, Columbus was expressly linking it to the north, so we would expect the sails to indicate that somehow. We would be more likely to see the lions of the house of Plantagenet, which were really the lions of Judah, [which were really the lions of El:](#)



Taking us back to 4000BC. See [here](#), where they pretend they don't know where the lions and eagles of all the royal houses of Europe come from. But I have proved the eagle is a phoenix. Herodotus admitted the phoenix looked just like an eagle. And the knife handle above shows you where the lions come from. They have to come from somewhere with access to lions, right, and lions never existed in Europe. Pointing us at Egypt, of course. Egypt birthed Phoenicia and the Phoenicians conquered the world, bringing their phoenixes and lions with them on their banners and shields.



Next we look at Columbus' strange signature, which we are told is an unsolved mystery. Except that

Cecil Roth, a Jew, solved it easily. [This British historian pointed out](#) that the anagram was very similar to pyramidal letters with dots that appeared on Jewish gravestones in Spain, being a cryptic substitute for Kaddish, the prayer recited by Jewish mourners. It allowed and encouraged his sons to say their prayers covertly. The Jews love being covert, as you know.

Strangely, that article at CNN mentions Wiesel, but spells his name wrong. And here we discover what Wiesel was up to in admitting Columbus was Jewish. It is all about the spin. Wiesel tells you that truth but then assures you Columbus was going to the New World to find a home for the Jews being expelled from Spain by its rulers. Except that we know he wasn't. He was going to find new lands for the Phoenicians to subjugate and pillage, as was proved by the outcome. It was about conquest, rapine, gold and other minerals, and mainly. . . money. His Jewish backers expected a return on their investments. So Wiesel's assignment really *was* a cohort of his work on the Holocaust, salting in the old "Jews as victims" mantra that goes back to Babylon. But these Jews leaving on August 2, 1492, weren't leaving because they were expelled, they were leaving as conquistadors large and small, hoping to profit hugely in new lands, as the Phoenicians always had. It would be like claiming the Phoenicians were being expelled from Tyre every time one of their ships left port.

Which means the expulsion of the Jews coincides with Columbus' voyage not because Columbus was ferrying them to freedom, but because the expulsion of the Jews was *made up later* and attached to Columbus' voyage on purpose. The earlier massacre of 1391 was also faked. This deserves a paper of its own, but I can only gloss it here. If you don't believe me, I encourage you to read [the Wikipedia page on that](#) and get back to me. OK, you are back. Did that make any sense at all, or did it seem to be dreamed up by some kids on acid? Whoever wrote that garbage not only doesn't know how to write, he doesn't know how to quit contradicting himself every other sentence. The rulers of Spain are constantly guarding the Jews and warning no one to abuse them, since they are such good taxpayers—which makes sense—but no one listens to them. The bishops just keep ignoring the Kings and Queens and murdering thousands of innocent people, with no repercussions. Is that the way the Kings of Spain ever acted in any other stories that don't concern Jews? Did they ever pass laws and then just sit around placidly as prominent people broke them? No, of course not. Even the Wiki police admit the page is a mess:

This article has multiple issues. Please help **improve it** or discuss these issues on the **talk page**. (*Learn how and when to remove these template messages*)

This article may **require cleanup** to meet Wikipedia's [quality standards](#). The specific problem is: **tone, style, essay-like tones**. (*December 2018*)

This article **needs additional citations for verification**. (*December 2018*)

That was six years ago. Has the page improved since then? Nope, it is still a complete disaster, and the specific problem isn't tone or style. It is that the whole thing reads as a piecemeal fantasy, written by a moron. Do you know why this page is a disaster? Because the story has *always* been a complete disaster, and no additional citations are available since this never happened. But, unlike the Holocaust, no one cares because it is so long ago. So they don't even bother to hire a top liar to come in and clean this mess up, to make it look somewhat believable. They figure no one is going to read this, and if they do they will just accept it and move on, not asking any questions. And that appears to be the case.

Before claiming Queen Isabella allowed or ordered this expulsion, all the mainstream histories admit she had previously been a great protector of the Jews, confessing herself in print many times that she was their protector. Of course she was, since she was Jewish/Phoenician:



Note the extremely long face and nose and the heavy-lidded eyes. The artist has corrected her nose a bit in the first one, trying to tone it down, but in the second one we see a bit more of the truth, with the turned-down, droopy septum John Lennon nose. Those of you just getting here may think that is a strange comparison, but it isn't. Remember, Lennon titled one of his books *A Spaniard in the Works*. All these people really are related. Plus, we just saw the Portuguese royal house of that time descending in direct line from the Plantagenets of England. Wikipedia gives you all the lines in black in white. So I am not making up any of this to suit myself.


So why did she change her mind, allowing their burning at the stake and expulsion? No good answer to that is ever forthcoming, and she never explained it herself, or even mentioned it. The only answer we get is the sort of convoluted jawing we find at Wikipedia, where the writer seems to make up reasons from nothing, while contradicting himself every other sentence.

If you keep studying these pages, you find your belief in all this history completely evaporating. For one thing, you find Tomas de Torquemada, the most feared Inquisitor and of course a Dominican, was a Jew himself. We saw that coming, didn't we?



Have you seen that? I bet you haven't. They hide it at Wikipedia, for obvious reasons. I hadn't ever seen it until just now. COULD IT BE MORE EFFING OBVIOUS! Are you screaming at the computer screen right now. I am.

Anyway, Tomas' uncle was Juan de Torquemada, who they admit was a big *defender* of the Jews. Of course he was:



Because he was a Jew. The Jewish Hernando de Pulgar, who was the royal historian and personal chronicler of Queen Isabella, **admitted the Torquemadas were Jewish.** Of course the mainstream “historians” now dismiss that as unfounded, though they don't deny he said it in print, because it destroys the entire fake history of that time. Wikipedia quotes Dominguez Casas, 2020, that

However, a study of all of Juan de Torquemada's ancestors found no Jewish converts in his family.

That may be true, but read closely. It says there were no Jewish converts in the family. It doesn't say they weren't Jewish. It just means none of them converted. There was no need to since the entire Inquisition and Expulsion was fabricated. Tomas de Torquemada was the Grand Inquisitor during the Expulsion, *proving* it was staged or completely faked on paper. It is nothing but another Jewish fabrication, told to create sympathy and to flip the entire reality of the time.

Which reminds us: [as they did with Martin Luther](#), the Pythons also warned us [of this](#):



Notice what color gloves the two other Inquisitors are wearing: [purple](#). Terry Jones' Inquisitor has a big red mustache. The whole thing is a farce, as was the history we are sold.

But I draw your attention to something else: the Pythons have the inquisitors in red, I guess to indicate they are cardinals. But Torquemada is wearing black above. He was a friar and prior, but not a cardinal.

Torquemada's partial genealogy is available at Geni.com, and we find he was also a [Tovar](#) and a Collazos. That interesting, since the Tovars are also Portuguese nobles and navigators, linking Torquemada closely to our Columbus story. I bet you didn't see that coming. See [Sancho de Tovar](#), 6th Lord of Cevico, Caracena and Boca de Hurgano. He was the vice-admiral of the fleet that discovered Brazil in 1500. Sancho's great-grandfather the 3rd Lord of Cerico was Don Juan de Tovar e [Toledo](#), since his mother was of the noble Toledos, again Jewish of course. He was a general in the *Reconquista*, the war to reclaim Granada from the Muslims.

Also worth mentioning is the “de” in Torquemada's name. He is not Tomas Torquemada, he is Tomas *de* Torquemada. Indicating nobility, just like the German “von”.

You probably think Torquemada burned or jailed hundreds of thousands of Jews. Nope. Pulgar tells

us there were only 2,000 executions in the 30-year reign of Isabella, so about 65 a year. Torquemada died in 1498, six years before Isabella, and wasn't Grand Inquisitor until 1483, so he presided over less than 1000 over 15 years. Given that there were about 500,000 Jews in Spain before the Expulsion, and that the executions of those years weren't just Jews, the Inquisition of bad boy Torquemada affected less than .1% of all Jews. Maybe 20 or 30 a year. And that is assuming it happened, which it didn't. 20 or 30 deaths a year in a country of six million is negligible, especially considering the fact that this was the time of the Black Death, when millions were dying across Europe. The Jewish historians didn't pick this century for their fake Jewish pogrom for no reason, since many Jews *were* dying of disease and some of them could be swept over into the murdered-by-evil-anti-Semites category.

As far as the Expulsion story goes, we already know the same lie was told about those coming to the New World from England a century later, since they too were sold as poor Puritans fleeing religious bigotry. [But they weren't](#). They were Phoenicians, most of them connected to the English or Dutch East or West India companies, seeking further riches and conquest.

Which leaves us with just one more big thing to hit. The name Colon. Have you figured it out yet? If not, ask yourself why the mainstream sites like Wiki give you his name in Latin, English, Italian, Spanish, and Genoese, but not in Portuguese. In that case he would be Cristovao Pomba, since Colon means dove and the Portuguese for dove is Pomba. That doesn't take us anywhere on the Jewish question, and indicates **Colon isn't right, either**. So let's use Occam's Razor and ask what the simplest solution here would be. That would be a change of one letter, right? I suggest . . . **Christopher Cohon**. That explains everything, because as a Cohen/Connene he could marry anyone, no matter how noble or royal they were. Being from the top priestly class of the Phoenicians, he would secretly outrank everyone, even kings and queens.

Yep, as in physics, the right answer is always deceptively simple. [In a previous paper](#) I showed you that Genghis Khan was actually. . . George Kohen. Same thing here, where we find Christopher Columbus the fake Genoan was actually Chris Cohen. History finally starts to make sense. The grand illusion has been pierced.

Let's be honest Genoa same as Venice was established by the Phoenicians and to this day is full of crypto-Jews. I still believe there is a clear distinction between the Jews and the Phoenicians (crypto-Jews). This creates these dynamics we see all over the world and the term "Whites" or "White Europeans" is being thrown every so often to put the blame on the European colonisers and slave traders. But this tactic is primarily to hide the ruthless Jewish investors, today they call them "the foreign investors". Most likely the Jews are those Jews who for whatever reasons cannot afford to be crypto-Jews. This dynamic is laid out in "The Merchant of Venice" by William Shakespeare who in reality was a clever Phoenician, William Stanley, 6th Earl of Derby. Most likely the overt Jews are those who in exchange for playing a scapegoat get some perks from the cryptic Phoenicians. Poor and middle class Jews protecting the upperclass Jewish oligarchs. But it could well be it is a neverending stageplay and some Jews prefer playing overt and some covert Jews. This dynamic works in any country. The middle class is Jewish as Freemasonry spans throughout upper and middle class.

In the past Miles stated that the surname of a gay American politician - Pete Buttigieg is some Intelligence joke but it isn't. I come across a Jew of the same surname and not in US but on Gibraltar - Dale Buttigieg. Gibraltar is the Southern tip of Spain but from the video it looks like it was established by Jews from Genoa.

I think Miles trying hard to prove Columbus was not an Italian is less important. These merchant Jews travelled between Genoa, Venice, Kartagena, Barcelona, Gibraltar, Lisbon every so often their allegiance was primarily to the sea and to Hebrew language not to Italy or Portugal. Portugal was just one of their stops. The next one was the Netherlands.

At the time the Phoenicians and Jews were "naturally" progressing to the west. They were shifting from the Mediterranean to open oceans. Of course they populated the coasts of Western Europe long long time ago but the centre of Phoenician/Jewish gravity was moving to the Atlantic and Portugal was a perfect spot to initiate the colonisation of Americas. Don't forget that at the time they were busy in the East and Far East as well.

Now let's look into the origins of our famous actor Henry Fonda (father of Jane Fonda) On the Wikipedia page it does not say that he was Jewish but how come a non-Jewish actor in Hollywood?

This is a quote from Wikipedia:

"Fonda's patriline originates with an ancestor from Genoa, Italy, who migrated to the Netherlands in the 15th century.[3] In 1642, a branch of the Fonda family immigrated to the Dutch colony of New Netherland on the East Coast of North America."

This looks like a travel path of a Phoenician/Jew, from Genoa to Netherlands then to the New Netherland. I would not be surprised that they had a stop at Gibraltar and in Lisbon.

Speaking of the fake expulsions from Spain and Portugal I suspect the very same dynamics were at play in the case of expulsion of Scottish (Jewish) rebels to Australia. Read THESE books. You know this story of the prison ships and Australia being a penal colony.

I think these colonisations were always organised in a similar fashion so the Phoenicians and their Jews always get there first.

If it was marketed as a new land of opportunity too many Gentiles would rush in and the Phoenicians/Jews would not be able to control the acquisition of land and property. They would have to share the spoils with some wretched Gentiles.

Moving forward any historical book you read or any historical documentary you watch you have to read between the lines.